Lesson: Pixels vs. object-based paradigm

Charlotte Flasse Université Libre de Bruxelles

BEA MAP SUDAN





ITC







The pixel-based and object-based paradigm in image classification

Adapted from Taïs Grippa – CIVIS Summer School 2021

Based on inputs of Taïs GRIPPA (ULB), Moritz LENNERT (ULB), Eleonore WOLFF (ULB), Stefan LANG (PLUS).



- Introduction
- I. Spatial unit to classify: Pixel or Object ?
- III. Image segmentation and Object-based image analysis (OBIA)
- V. Measure of interpretation criteria: classification features



I. Introduction



What is the purpose of image classification?

DEAMAP Image classification SUDAN

- The aim of image classification/analysis is to extract meaningful information from (e.g., earth observation) images
- The most common (geo)information extracted from remote sensing earth observation data is land cover



© Grippa T. (ULB)

Image

(Geo-)





interpretationalise colour composite

- Try to answer this question:
- What do you see on this image ?







interpretationalise colour composite

- Try to answer these two questions:
- What do you see on this image ?
- Which information do you rely on to take you decision ?





• Spatial information is as important as spectral information to identify real-world object in image analysis.

Spectral

Red pixels = Active vegetation

Spatial

Smooth = Agricultural fields Bumpy = Deciduous forest



© S. Lang (PLUS)



Spatial matters

- Lake >< River
- Land ><
 Island
- Shadow



EAMAP SUDAN Human v.s. Computer

- Human visual system
 - highly complex and powerful allowing precision and nuance in interpretation
 - very tedious and inefficient when dealing with large amounts of data
- Computer vision system
 Possibility to automatize extraction

CHALLENGE Replicate the interpretation capacity of the human brain





Human Vision System

© Manning



What is the problem with

pixel-based ?

Information available at pixel level:

- Spectral value of pixel (-> Tone/color)
- Pseudo bands like NDVI indices etc..
 (-> Tone/color)
- Coordinates from pixel (-> location)
 Other important criteria of interpretation are not available directly at pixel-based level, such as Shape / Size / Texture / Association / Site







II. Spatial unit to classify: Pixel or Object ?



Spatial unit: Pixel or Object ?

 The spatial resolution has an impact on the kind of object of interest we can classify in the image.



7cm





• Understanding the relation between the spatial resolution of the image and the size of elements we expect to map is important to understand why the object-based approach could be useful.



10 m





How to classify correctly this building made of multiple pixels ?

How to identify the different classes mixed in this pixel ?





10 m



EAMAP SUDAN Spatial unit: Pixel or Object ?

 A) Mixed pixel: Several geographical objects in the same pixel => Mixed spectral responses, no clear delineation of the objects and difficult to classify



20m pixel



EAMAP SUDAN Spatial unit: Pixel or Object ?

b) Some mixed pixels and some pure. Here, the spatial resolution starts to be equivalent to the size of some geographical objects but not all





C) Single geographical objects are composed of multiple pixels => Could be a problem in pixel-based approach (salt-and-pepper)



EAMAP SUDAN Salt-and-pepper effect

A common issue with pixel-based classification, that is not present in object-based approaches (which give more homogenous regions)





Spatial unit: Pixel or Object?

When the size of objects of interest tends to be larger than the image's pixel size, the object-based approach start to make sense

Why to chose an object-based paradigm

- If objects of interest are composed of multiple pixels
- If size and shape features are useful for distinguishing different classes (ex: bare soil <> unpaved road ; Lake <> River)



III. Image segmentation andObject-based image analysis(OBIA)







Object-based image analysis (OBIA)



Vector model and raster model are two different paradigms in data management

DEAMAP SUDAN

Object-based image analysis

ObjectD =27 Mixed arable

arable land

> **Object representation** Vector model

Clear boundaries, unique and unambiguous content, allows queries

Stores interpretations, facts

(GE-)OBIA

uses spatial concepts in boundary delineation and object classification





No boundaries, no semantic content, only spectral values, allows different interpretations

Stores (pseudo-continuous) measurements © S. Lang (PLUS)

GEOBIA is an image classification paradigm leveraging the object representation model to analyze raster data

Creates bridge between GIS (mainly vector + spatial analysis) and remote sensing (raster)



 Image data does not store boundaries, but boundaries can be extracted from images using segmentation techniques





© M. Lennert (ULB)

• Can you identify the content of this image?



A simple image matrix is converted to random colours (left). When using graduated grey shades it reveals a familiar pattern (right). <u>© S. Lang (PLUS)</u>

- Can you identify the content of this image? And now ?
- How do we know that some pixels should belong to the same object ?



A simple image matrix is converted to random colours (left). When using graduated grey shades it reveals a familiar pattern (right). <u>© S. Lang (PLUS)</u>

- Can you identify the content of this image? And now ?
- How do we know that some pixels should belong to the same object ?

Pixels belonging to the hair all have dark color and are close to each other => Spatial auto-correlation



A simple image matrix is converted to random colours (left). When using graduated grey shades it reveals a familiar pattern (right). © S. Lang, PLUS © S. Lang (PLUS)



"Everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things."



Tobler's first law of geography



• Measures of spatial autocorrelation: Morans' I, Geary's C

I > 0 = Clustered 0 = Random I < 0 = Dispersed



- Spatial auto-correlation is a fundamental principle in image analysis
- The Human vision system relies on breaks in spatial auto-correlation to decide if pixels belong together and form "objects"
- The good news : We can measure that with our computers !
- Segmentation = Grouping neighboring pixels with similar values

- Objects are regions homogenous in terms of spectral values
- Region boundaries occur when spectral values change suddenly









- How to measure goodness of the segmentation ?
- The result of the segmentation should maximize the uniformity of single objects and the difference between adjacent objects (<u>Haralick, 1985</u>)



Spectral homogeneity may be not the only criteria desired

Size (min/max) / Compactness / etc...

Ambiguous case (considering spectral homogeneity vs. compactness of region)



© S. Lang (PLUS)

EAMAP Segmentation is not trivial

 Segmentation = image object creation by grouping contiguous and similar pixels



- Segmentation results should correspond as much as possible to objects of interest, but it is rarely perfect —> Look for trade-off.
- (Very) non optimal segmentation can dramatically affect the results of the classification

EAMAP SUDAN

Segmentation is not trivial

Ideally, we would like each building of this image represented in one segment.

By varying <u>the</u> <u>segmentation</u> <u>parameters</u>, we achieve very different results.



668070E

668100E

Projection: WGS 1984 / UTM zone 30N (EPSG: 32630) © DigitalGlobe, Inc. All Rights Reserved



371187N

371157N

© T. Grippa (ULB)

EAMAP SUDAN

Segmentation is not trivial

Example of **over**-segmentation :

Too many segments for a single real-world object



668070E

668100E

Projection: WGS 1984 / UTM zone 30N (EPSG: 32630) © DigitalGlobe, Inc. All Rights Reserved

0 5 10 m

Threshold (GRASS GIS): 0.005



Segmentation is not trivial

Example of **under**-segmentation :

Several real-world objects for a single segment



668070E

668100E

Projection: WGS 1984 / UTM zone 30N (EPSG: 32630) © DigitalGlobe, Inc. All Rights Reserved 0 5 10 m

Threshold (GRASS GIS): 0.005

EAMAP SUDAN Segmentation is not trivial

Example of trade-off :

Good compromise between over-segmentation and under-segmentation



668070E

668100E

Projection: WGS 1984 / UTM zone 30N (EPSG: 32630) © DigitalGlobe, Inc. All Rights Reserved 0 5 10 m

Threshold (GRASS GIS): 0.005

EAMAP SUDAN Choice of segmentation parameter

How can optimal segmentation parameters be selected ?

- Manually with trial-and-error attempts, in order to iteratively tune segmentation parameters, to produce expected segments
- Automated segmentation parameter optimization
 - Supervised: with available reference polygons Need a priori knowledge
 - Unsupervised (USPO Unsupervised segmentation parameter optimization): relying on image statistics only → Without a-priori knowledge. Available in GRASS GIS (free) or eCognition (Proprietary)

EAMAP Choice of segmentation parameter

Unsupervised segmentation parameter optimization (USPO)

Optimal combination of segmentation parameters

i.segmen	nt.uspo	ov	erwrite group=opt r	ndvi output=-	regions=regio
Working Best val Region	on regi lues: Thresh	on r. Mir	egin/1	-	-
region1	0.04	10	0.653504551875		
region1	0.05	5 10	0.630122188455		

region, threshold, minsize, variance, spatial_autocorrelation, optimi region1, 0.01, 10, 4377.22722523, 0.532769242846, 0.351638838413 region1, 0.01, 5, 2696.86551632, 0.635491416839, 0.0 region1, 0.02, 10, 4545.24538704, 0.473494476948, 0.491350274845 region1, 0.03, 10, 5262.27878218, 0.400250406719, 0.605730523328 region1, 0.02, 5, 2993.14278476, 0.563103596719, 0.270353765406 region1, 0.04, 10, 6352.80005026, 0.325995624944, 0.653504551875 region1.0.03, 5.3921.94612895.0.476527715668.0.495265334997



EAMAP SUDAN

Choice of segmentation parameter

Variation of optimal segmentation parameters in the area of interest *interest*





Two fundamental approaches

Top-down

Split the whole image into segments

Split-and-merge (quadtree)

Bottom-up

Merge individual pixels into segments

Region-growing, Watershed, SLIC



Split-and-merge



© Xphilipp.developpez.com



© M. Lennert (ULB)





SLIC Superpixels (Statistical clustering based on K-means)

© M. Lennert (ULB)



- Most of the time it is impossible to have a perfect segmentation.
- It is usually preferable to have slightly oversegmented objects because these could still be correctly classified during the classification step.
- Under-segmented objects contain several land cover classes, which affects the classification and means that it could never correspond to reality

Important remark about segmentation

Working under the object-based paradigm does not mean we should rely on the vector model.

 Vector data are very heavy and slow to query when dealing with millions of segments.

EAMAP

SUDAN

- Raster model is much more efficient. Segment IDs can be stored in each pixel belonging to the same object and segment statistics stored in a text file
 - E.g., CSV file ready-to-use for machine learning implementation using R or Python >< Attribute table of vector layer which need format conversion